

**CA-PMM****Project Name:** ISAWS Migration**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205**Department:** Office of Systems Integration**Reporting Period:** From: To:**Team Member to Project  
Manager****Current Task Summary**

Task or Deliverable	Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issues?
<b>Accomplished this week</b>			
<b>Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks</b>			
<b>Status Summary</b>	<b>Yes/No</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?			
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?			
Are there problems which affect your ability to accomplish assigned tasks?			
Do you plan to take time off that is not currently scheduled?			

**Status of Assigned Issues**

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status
--------------	-------------	----------	--------

## CA-PMM

**Project Name:** ISAWS Migration

**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

**Reporting Period:** From: To:

## Team Member to Project Manager


**CA-PMM****Project Name:** ISAWS Migration**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205**Department:** Office of Systems Integration**Reporting Period:** From: 12/1/09 To: 12/31/09**Project Manager to Sponsor****Current Status Report**

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
1. Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

**CA-PMM****Project Name:** ISAWS Migration**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205**Department:** Office of Systems Integration**Reporting Period:** From: 12/1/09 To: 12/31/09**Project Manager to Sponsor****Look Ahead View**

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
1. Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
4. Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
9. Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

## CA-PMM

**Project Name:** ISAWS Migration

**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

**Reporting Period:** From: 12/1/09 To: 12/31/09

## Project Manager to Sponsor

### Current Status and Accomplishments:

*Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.*

- The migration project's wave 1 onsite implementation support staff will transition off at the end of December 2009. Wave 2 conversion and implementation activities are on schedule for cutover on March 1, 2010.
- Conversion Plan Tasks Completed - Wave 1
- Equipment and Software Acquisition Plan Update #13
- Migration Work Plan Update #27
- Site Preparation and Installation Plan Approved for Del Norte, Lassen, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity & Tehama

### Project Milestones:

*List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.*

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
User Acceptance Test	6/10/09	6/10/09	Done		6/10/09
Wave I Implementation	11/2/09	11/2/09	Done		11/2/09
Wave 2 Implementation	3/1/10	3/1/10	On Target		
Wave 3 Implementation	6/1/10	6/1/10	On Target		

### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			
Milestones	X			
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
OneTime Cost	X			

## CA-PMM

**Project Name:** ISAWS Migration

**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

**Reporting Period:** *From:* 12/1/09 *To:* 12/31/09

## Project Manager to Sponsor

Continuing Cost	X			
-----------------	---	--	--	--

## CA-PMM

**Project Name:** ISAWS Migration

**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

**Reporting Period:** From: 12/1/09 To: 12/31/09

## Project Manager to Sponsor

## CA-PMM

**Project Name:** ISAWS Migration

**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

**Reporting Period:** From: 12/1/09 To: 12/31/09

## Sponsor to Executive Committee

### Summary Milestones and Highlights

<b>Project Milestones:</b> <i>List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.</i>					
Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
User Acceptance Test	6/10/09	6/10/09	Done		6/10/09
Wave I Implementation	11/2/09	11/2/09	Done		11/2/09
Wave 2 Implementation	3/1/10				
Wave 3 Implementation	6/1/10				

<b>Variances</b> Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance". * Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis				
	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			
Milestones	X			
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
One Time Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	X			



**CA-PMM****Project Name:** ISAWS Migration**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205**Department:** Office of Systems Integration**Reporting Period:** From: 12/1/09 To: 12/31/09**Sponsor to Executive  
Committee****Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score	Score Justification
1. Customer Buy-In	High Degree of Buy-In	0	0 Green	
	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1		
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2		
2. Technology Viability	Strong Viability	0	0 Green	
	Medium Viability	1		
	Weak Viability	2		
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	<5%	0	0 Green	
	5% to 10%	1		
	>10%	2		
4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-Date (higher)	<5%	0	0 Green	
	5% to 10%	1		
	>10%	2		
5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	0 to 3	0	0 Green	
	4 to 6	1		
	>6	2		
6. Unresolved Issues (on time resolution)	On time	0	0 Green	
	Late with no impact	1		
	Late impacting the critical path	2		
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Fully engaged	0	0 Green	
	Partially engaged	1		
	Inadequate engagement	2		
8. Strategy Alignment	Strong alignment	0	0 Green	
	Partial alignment	1		
	Weak or no alignment	2		
9. Value-to-Business	Strong	0	0 Green	
	Medium	1		

## CA-PMM

**Project Name:** ISAWS Migration

**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

**Reporting Period:** *From:* 12/1/09 *To:* 12/31/09

## Sponsor to Executive Committee

	Weak	2	5
--	------	---	---

**CA-PMM****Project Name:** ISAWS Migration**OCIO Project #:** 0530-205**Department:** Office of Systems Integration**Reporting Period:** From: 12/1/09 To: 12/31/09**Sponsor to Executive Committee**

10. Vendor Viability (provide rationale for the rating in the field following the scorecard)	Strong	0	0	Green	
	Medium	1			
	Weak	2			
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	>90% on time	0	0	Green	
	80-90% on time	1			
	<80% on time	2			
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	>90% on time	0	0	Green	
	80-90% on time	1			
	<80% on time	2			
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	>90% assigned and available	0	0	Green	
	80-90% assigned and available	1			
	<80% assigned and available	2			
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	<15%	0	0	Green	
	15-25%	1			
	>25%	2			
15. Team Effectiveness	Highly Effective	0	0	Green	
	Moderately Effective	1			
	Ineffective	2			
<b>Total</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>G</b>	

Green = 0 - 8

Yellow = 9 - 19

Red = 20+

**Vendor Viability Rating Rationale**

There is a high degree of confidence and collaboration between the ISAWS Migration project and the C-IV contractor (Accenture). Accenture has extensive experience in implementing large systems. Accenture successfully implemented the original four C-IV counties and will be doing a similar type of implementation for the 35 ISAWS counties. The project has received a score of <10 which indicates a fairly healthy project.